

Kevin Haverty, Esq., *pro hac vice*
WILLIAMS CUKER BEREZOFKY, LLC
210 Lake Drive East, Suite 101
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
Telephone: (856) 667-0500

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

STEPHEN WENDELL AND LISA WENDELL,
for themselves and as successors in interest to
MAXX WENDELL, DECEASED,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON; CENTOCOR, INC.;
ABBOTT LABORATORIES; SMITHKLINE
BEECHAM d/b/a GLAXOSMITHKLINE; TEVA
PHARMACEUTICALS USA; GATE
PHARMACEUTICALS, a division of TEVA
PHARMACEUTICALS USA; PAR
PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.;

Defendants.

CASE NO. 4:09-CV-04124-CW

**STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER
EXTENDING TIME AND ORDER**

Removal Filed: September 4, 2009

STIPULATION

Pursuant to Rule 6-2(a), the parties jointly request that the deadlines be extended as set forth herein.

On September 4, 2009, this case was removed to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. A series of motions resolved multiple pleadings issues at the outset of this litigation. On June 10, 2010 plaintiffs filed the operative amended Complaint and the defendants all filed Answers on July 1, 2010. Discovery beyond initial disclosures began once pleadings issues were resolved.

In the interim, the court, on June 3, 2010, entered a Case Management Order which provided, among other things, that fact discovery was to end on February 2, 2011.

On July 13, 2010, plaintiffs served interrogatories and requests for production of documents on all defendants. Defendants served responses to those discovery requests variously on August 25, 2010 (Centocor), September 24, 2010 (Par Pharmaceutical and Teva), October 4, 2010 (Abbott Laboratories) and November 15, 2010 (GlaxoSmithKline). Defendants indicated that responsive documents would be provided or made available for inspection and copying subject to the entry of a protective order.

On July 28, 2010, plaintiffs' counsel sent an email to counsel for defendant Abbott Laboratories asking her to identify 30(b)(6) witnesses for deposition, Exhibit 1, to which she responded the next day that Abbott would endeavor to identify the appropriate witnesses. Exhibit 2. In Abbott's responses to plaintiffs' discovery requests, various witnesses with knowledge are identified but Plaintiffs state that their depositions cannot be properly conducted until the underlying documents have been reviewed.

On or about December 20, 2010, the Court granted the parties' request to extend the deadline to conduct mediation to June 29, 2011. On or about February 3, 2011, the Court granted the parties' request to extend the discovery end date until June 30, 2011, and ordered that Plaintiffs designate testifying experts and provide related reports by August 12, 2011, Defendants designate testifying experts and provide related reports by October 30, 2012 [sic], the parties complete expert discovery

1 on or before December 14, 2011, and dispositive motions be heard on or before (and a case
2 management conference would be set for) January 26, 2012. The trial date was not continued.

3 A protective order was ultimately entered by the Court on April 19, 2011. Thereafter,
4 Defendants collectively produced millions of pages of documents on disk drives to Plaintiffs. These
5 productions occurred variously on April 19 (Centocor) and May 11, 18 and 24, 2011 (Abbott).
6 Defendants GlaxoSmithKline, Teva and Par have offered to make documents available for
7 inspection and copying in response to Plaintiffs' requests. Defendant Centocor has offered to make
8 available for inspection and copying its hard-copy production.

9 The parties have completed key depositions in this litigation, such as the deposition of Maxx
10 Wendell's prescribing physician on April 11, 2011, and of Plaintiffs and another family member on
11 June 14, 2011. On several occasions, the last of which occurred on June 16, 2011, the parties
12 conferred about issues related to timing of mediation, potential dispositive motion practice, and
13 further discovery.

14 The parties have agreed to mediate this case with Honorable Rebecca Westerfield. The
15 parties are attempting to identify a mutually agreeable date in August but will, in any event, schedule
16 the mediation to occur on or before September 15, 2011. The parties believe that a stay of
17 discovery until after the mediation or, if the mediation is unsuccessful, until after the ruling on the
18 motions for summary judgment discussed below, will allow the parties to conserve resources for
19 settlement, conserve judicial resources, and help resolve the litigation.

20 Defendants plan to file motions for summary judgment based on the learned intermediary
21 doctrine. Defendants do not need additional discovery to file such motions. Plaintiffs have
22 conducted all discovery needed to oppose such motions and do not plan to oppose the motion on the
23 grounds that further discovery is necessary.

24 Should those motions and the mediation be unsuccessful, some additional fact discovery
25 would need to be conducted by the parties. Plaintiffs would need to conduct additional discovery
26 bearing on the issues of the adequacy of the warning labels which will not only involve reviewing
27 and selecting pertinent documents from the electronic discovery provided by Defendants but also
28

1 conduct depositions pursuant to *Fed. R. Civ. P.* 30(b)(6). If they have not already done so, Plaintiffs
 2 will supplement their responses to Defendants' written discovery requests by providing (1) a list of
 3 the categories of damages they seek and amounts for each and (2) certain responsive documents
 4 Plaintiffs testified were available but which have not yet been produced to Defendants. Further fact
 5 discovery to be conducted by Defendants includes taking additional depositions of at least two of
 6 Maxx Wendell's treating oncologists¹ and any discovery arising from Plaintiffs' responses to written
 7 discovery previously propounded by Defendants.

8 THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:

9 1. The parties hereto request that the June 29, 2011 deadline for the parties to conduct
 10 private mediation be continued to September 15, 2011.

11 2. The parties request that all other deadlines be vacated.

12 3. The parties request that discovery be stayed until after the mediation or ruling on
 13 defendants' motions for summary judgment, whichever comes later; at that time the parties will meet
 14 and confer to determine a proposed schedule for remaining fact discovery listed above, expert
 15 discovery, other pre-trial deadlines, and a new trial date.

16 4. The parties will file a proposed scheduling order within two weeks of the mediation
 17 (in the event it is unsuccessful) or ruling on defendants' motions for summary judgment, whichever
 18 comes later.

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 _____
 28 ¹ These depositions were previously scheduled for June 24, 2011. The parties would like to continue these depositions to
 after the mediation to avoid incurring potentially unnecessary costs.

5. DECLARATION PURSUANT TO L.R. 6-2(a): The parties declare that (1) the reason for the requested enlargement of time is to allow the parties to engage in mediation, potential early dispositive motion practice and additional discovery should mediation or motion practice fail to dispose of the case; (2) as set forth above, the parties anticipate that this modification of the discovery end date will affect other deadlines including the April 2012 trial date.

DATED: June 22, 2011

/s/ Kevin Haverty

Kevin Haverty (*pro hac vice*)
WILLIAMS CUKER BEREZOFKY,
LLC
Woodland Falls Corporate Park
210 Lake Drive East, Suite 101
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
Counsel for Plaintiffs

/s/ Andrew P. Bautista

Andrew P. Bautista (*pro hac vice*)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
300 North LaSalle
Chicago, Illinois 60654
Counsel for Abbott Laboratories

/s/ Michelle A. Childers

Michelle A. Childers
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
50 Freemont Street, 30th Fl.
San Francisco, CA 94105
*Counsel for Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc.
and Johnson & Johnson*

/s/ Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr.

Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr. (*pro hac vice*)
ULMER & BERNE LLP
600 Vine Street, Suite 2800
Cincinnati, OH 45202
*Counsel for Teva Pharmaceuticals
USA, Inc.*

/s/ William A. Hanssen

William A. Hanssen
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
333 South Grand Ave., Ste. 1700
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1504
*Counsel for SmithKline Beecham
Corporation
d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline*

/s/ Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr.

Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr. (*pro hac vice*)
ULMER & BERNE LLP
600 Vine Street, Suite 2800
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Counsel for Par Pharmaceutical, Inc.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Defendants shall notice their motion on the learned intermediary defense for not later than Jan. 26, 2012, and a case management conference will be held on that date at 2 pm.

Dated: June 23, 2011


CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45

I, Kevin Haverty, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER EXTENDING TIME AND [PROPOSED] ORDER. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that the following attorneys have concurred in this filing: Andrew P. Bautista, counsel for Abbott Laboratories; Michelle A. Childers, counsel for Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc., and Johnson & Johnson; Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr., counsel for Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., and Par Pharmaceutical, Inc.; William A. Hanssen, counsel for SmithKline Beecham Corporation.

/s/ Kevin Haverty

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on June 22, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER EXTENDING TIME AND [PROPOSED] ORDER with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the email addresses registered, as denoted on the Court's Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing document via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants listed below:

John D. Winter
Patterson, Belknap, Webb & Tyler LLP
1133 Avenue Of The Americas
New York, New York 10036-6710

Jeffrey F. Peck
Ulmer & Berne LLP
600 Vine Street, Suite 2800
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: June 22, 2011

By: s/ Kevin Haverty

Kevin Haverty, pro hac vice
WILLIAMS CUKER BEREZOFISKY, LLC
Khaverty@wcblegal.com
210 Lake Drive East, Suite 101
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08002
Telephone: (856) 667-0500
Fax: (856) 667-5133